

---

**COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CPCAC)  
PUBLIC MEETING  
ACTION SUMMARY**

---

**Meeting Held Wednesday, April 12, 2013, 8:30 am, at the Rosa Parks Transportation Center**

**Members Present**

- Alton Trahan
- Tad Sebastian
- Carrie Templeton
- Greg Manuel
- Hector LaSala
- Jerry Prejean
- Troy Bergeron
- Jared Bellard

- Kevin Blanchard
- Tom Meyers
- Stephanie Cornay Dugan
- Kam Movassaghi
- Beau Bourque
- Jerrod Olivier
- Louis Perret
- Flo Meadows
- Mark Mouton

**Members Absent**

- Mike Hefner
- Margaret Trahan Resigned
- Dr. Joby John
- Andre Mitchell
- Mitzi Moss Duhon
- Susannah Malbreaux
- John Guilbeau
- Tim Supple
- Bruce Conque
- Mary Jane Bauer
- Gerd Wuestemann
- Mandi Mitchell
- Don Bertrand
- Marilyn McDonald
- Jeremiah Supple
- Virginia Jones

**LCG**

- Joey Durel
- Steve Oubre, ASW
- Tom Carroll
- Mike Hollier
- Carlee Alm-LaBar
- Cathie Gilbert
- Cecilia Gayle
- Johnny Orgeron
- Neil LeBouef
- Melanie Bordelon

**Public**

**Media**

- Claire Taylor  
The Advertiser
- KATC
- Richard Burgess  
The Advocate

**1. Welcome – Chair, Kevin Blanchard**

**2. Action Summary**

Beau Bourque made a motion to approve the action summary for the February 6, 2013 meeting. Carrie Templeton seconded the motion.

MOTION: Beau Bourque

SECOND: Carrie Templeton

VOTE: 17-0-0-16, Yes: 17, No: 0, Abstain: 0, Absent 16

**3. WRT Presentation: WRT took the CPCAC through the five stations (described below) that will be set up at CFS3. An early draft of the stations was presented to the CPCAC at their last meeting.**

Station 1 Welcome – An overview about the open house procedures, a summary of input received in CFS2 and a map for participants to review the scenario development process/methodology – WRT explained the level of participation from CFS2 and how this information was used to synthesize three growth scenarios.

Station 2 Understanding Scenarios – This station explains what scenarios are and how the three growth scenarios compare with the trend (no plan) scenario. It also shows the inconsistencies between the trend and the adopted vision statement. This station also defines certain key terms and talks about why land use patterns matter.

Station 3 Understanding the Fiscal Picture – This station breaks down the general fund and shows how certain services are funded with fund sources that are not property tax. It also describes our property tax millages in terms of three house prices and what our millages pay for.

Station 4 Comparing Alternative Scenarios – This station uses certain indicators to measure the trend against each scenario. The scenarios are described in more detail with visuals. The last board in this station asks people to pick their first and second choice.

The scenarios are described below:

1. **Multi-Center** - this scenario looks at centers of mixed use, higher density development in addition to downtown. These centers are generally centered on major intersections.
2. **Balanced** – This scenario looks at more development north of downtown where there is existing infrastructure. This scenario includes more single family residential than the other multi-center and corridor scenarios.
3. **Corridors** – This scenario looks at more linear development on key arterial roads that would be mixed use and higher densities with transitional development to the residential neighborhoods. A focus would be to improve those roadways to accommodate multi modal transportation.

Station 5 The Money Game – This station asks people how they would spend 100 dollars on capital projects. It is intended to gauge people's priorities. Also, the last board gives a possible list of implementation tools.

Some of the members commented. Stephanie Cornay Dugan felt the introductory photos were not very flattering of Lafayette. She also questioned the use of greenfield, agricultural and open space. Silvia from WRT explained that greenfield is more a planning term where development occurs on empty land.

Greg Manuel objected to the listing of impact fees as part of the implementation options. He suggested and it was generally agreed that they would use alternative infrastructure funding.

There was discussion regarding staying consistent between the budget and the money game. Louis Perret asked where public buildings would fall, eg. the Courthouse.

4. **Update on Public Outreach** – Moon Chang of BBR Creative presented a plan of the collaborative effort of BBR, the Graham Group and the Chamber. It consisted of the launch of a new website, PlanLafayette.com, a series of fliers explaining how to get involved, what a plan is and a description of the growth scenarios, ideas for television and radio commercials, etc.

The committee members were very enthusiastic about what they heard.

5. **New Business/Old Business** – None

6. **Public Comments** – No public comment

*To be approved by the CPCAC*